

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: NAVIGATING OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES IN GLOBAL GOVERNANCE

SÉRGIO SILVA

D012196@umaia.pt

University Professor in the scientific areas related to Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science at University of Maia – UMAIA (Portugal). He holds a PhD in Intelligent and Adaptable Software Systems from the University of Vigo and a Master's and Bachelor's degree in Mathematics from the University of Minho. He has several publications that include book chapters, articles and conferences. His research focus is the application of Artificial Intelligence and Information and Communication Technologies in various areas of society, namely Education, Law, Industry, Health and Web Marketing. In addition, he has extensive professional experience in academic and business projects involving the transfer of scientific and technological knowledge.

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming the field of International Relations (IR) by reshaping diplomacy, security, and global governance. This article employs a descriptive-analytical methodology, systematically reviewing and synthesizing existing literature to analyse AI's applications and implications in IR. It explores AI's potential to enhance diplomatic decision-making through predictive analytics and automated negotiation systems, as well as its applications in cybersecurity and military technologies. The article also highlights the ethical and regulatory challenges posed by AI, such as algorithmic bias, weaponization, and disparities in global access to AI technologies. While AI offers innovative solutions for global challenges, such as climate change and public health, its integration into the global system demands robust international frameworks and ethical safeguards. Recommendations include promoting equitable AI access, fostering international cooperation, and leveraging AI for peacebuilding and sustainable development. This article contributes to the growing discourse on the intersection of AI and IR, emphasizing the need for responsible and inclusive global governance.

Keywords

Artificial Intelligence, International Relations, Diplomacy, Cybersecurity, Governance.

Resumo

A Inteligência Artificial (IA) está a transformar o campo das Relações Internacionais (RI), remodelando a diplomacia, a segurança e a governança global. Este artigo emprega uma metodologia descritiva-analítica, revendo e sintetizando sistematicamente a literatura existente para analisar as aplicações e implicações da IA nas RI. Explora o potencial da IA para melhorar a tomada de decisões diplomáticas através de análises preditivas e sistemas de negociação automatizados, bem como as suas aplicações em cibersegurança e tecnologias militares. O artigo também destaca os desafios éticos e regulatórios impostos pela IA, como viés algorítmico, militarização e disparidades no acesso global às tecnologias de IA. Embora a IA ofereça soluções inovadoras para desafios globais, como alterações climáticas e saúde pública, a sua integração no sistema global exige estruturas internacionais robustas e



salvaguardas éticas. As recomendações incluem a promoção do acesso equitativo à IA, o fomento da cooperação internacional e o aproveitamento da IA para a construção da paz e o desenvolvimento sustentável. Este artigo contribui para o crescente discurso sobre a interseção entre IA e RI, enfatizando a necessidade de uma governança global responsável e inclusiva.

Palavras-chave

Inteligência Artificial, Relações Internacionais, Diplomacia, Cibersegurança, Governança.

How to cite this article

Silva, Sérgio (2025). Artificial Intelligence and International Relations: Navigating Opportunities and Challenges in Global Governance. *Janus.net, e-journal of international relations*. VOL. 16, Nº. 2, November 2025-April 2026, pp. 22-39. DOI <https://doi.org/10.26619/1647-7251.16.2.2>

Article submitted on 21st January 2025 and accepted for publication on 8th September 2025.





ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: NAVIGATING OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES IN GLOBAL GOVERNANCE

SÉRGIO SILVA

Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought about transformative changes across various sectors, including healthcare, education, finance, and governance. In the realm of International Relations (IR), AI is emerging as a notable change, influencing how states interact, negotiate, and respond to global challenges. By automating complex processes, analysing vast amounts of data, and providing actionable insights, AI has the potential to enhance decision-making, improve diplomatic outcomes, and address pressing global issues such as climate change and pandemics.

However, these advancements come with significant challenges. The integration of AI into IR raises ethical dilemmas, such as algorithmic bias and the potential misuse of AI in autonomous weapons and surveillance. Moreover, disparities in AI adoption and technological capability among nations risk widening the global development gap, leading to unequal power dynamics in the international system. As such, the use of AI in IR is not merely a technological shift but a complex socio-political evolution that requires careful governance and collaboration.

This article employs a descriptive-analytical methodology, systematically reviewing existing literature and case studies. The ideas of other authors have been organized thematically into domains such as diplomacy, security, digital public diplomacy, cybersecurity, and global governance. This approach allows for a clear synthesis of theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and policy implications, while highlighting emerging trends and challenges (Fatai et al., 2023, p. 2030; Galliano et al., 2024, pp. 36-37).

AI in Diplomacy and Decision-Making

AI has begun to revolutionize diplomacy by introducing tools that streamline decision-making and enhance diplomatic outcomes. By processing vast datasets and providing actionable insights, AI is enabling diplomats and policymakers to respond more effectively to global challenges.



Predictive Analytics in Diplomacy

Predictive analytics is one of the most transformative applications of AI in diplomacy, enabling states to anticipate global challenges and take pre-emptive actions. By analysing large datasets, predictive models driven by AI identify trends and provide actionable insights, helping policymakers make informed decisions in complex international scenarios (Fatai et al., 2023, pp. 2032-2035).

Anticipating Crises and Conflicts

AI-powered predictive tools are particularly valuable in crisis anticipation and conflict prevention. By integrating historical data, social media trends, economic indicators, and real-time intelligence, these systems can identify early warning signs of potential crises. For example, the European Union's Early Warning System employs machine learning algorithms to monitor risk factors such as political instability, migration patterns, and economic shocks. This system enables timely interventions that mitigate the risk of escalation and reduce the humanitarian and political costs of crises (Galliano et al., 2024, pp. 40-42).

Enhancing Policy Formulation

Predictive analytics supports policymaking based on evidence by simulating the outcomes of various strategies. Through scenario modelling, AI allows diplomats to explore potential consequences of decisions in areas such as trade negotiations, conflict resolution, and climate agreements. For instance, AI models can simulate the impact of economic sanctions on a target nation's stability, enabling policymakers to choose strategies with the desired outcomes while minimizing unintended consequences (Bali & Rapelanoro, 2021, p. 28).

Case Studies in Predictive Analytics

The United Nations Global Pulse initiative exemplifies the use of AI in humanitarian diplomacy. By leveraging big data and predictive analytics, the program forecasts crises such as food shortages, disease outbreaks, and refugee movements, facilitating international aid coordination. Similarly, systems driven by AI have been used to identify patterns of disinformation campaigns that threaten democratic processes, allowing states to respond proactively (Manor, 2019, pp. 83-101).

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Despite its advantages, predictive analytics in diplomacy faces significant challenges. The quality of predictions depends heavily on the availability and accuracy of data. Incomplete or biased datasets can lead to flawed forecasts, undermining decision-making processes. Moreover, the use of predictive tools raises ethical concerns, such as privacy violations



and the potential misuse of predictive insights for coercive or manipulative purposes (Helbing, 2015, p. 12).

Another crucial challenge concerns the quality and representativeness of the data on which AI systems rely. In diplomacy and conflict prediction, biased or incomplete datasets can distort forecasts, leading to misguided or unjust outcomes. For instance, when predictive models rely predominantly on data from developed countries, they risk neglecting the political and cultural specificities of the Global South, thereby reinforcing existing inequalities in global governance (Montgomery, 2024, pp. 5–6). Helbing (2015, p. 12) similarly warns that the unchecked use of incomplete data may amplify algorithmic bias and erode trust in policy processes driven by AI. Ensuring transparency in data provenance and promoting human oversight are thus critical to maintaining legitimacy and accountability in decision-making assisted by AI.

Automated Negotiation Systems

Automated negotiation systems powered by AI are revolutionizing the way international agreements and conflict resolutions are approached. These systems utilize sophisticated algorithms to analyse data, evaluate stakeholders' preferences, and recommend optimal solutions. By enhancing efficiency and reducing bias, platforms driven by AI are proving to be valuable tools in diplomatic and multilateral negotiation processes (Katsh & Rabinovich-Einy, 2017, pp. 50-52).

Enhancing Multilateral Negotiations

In multilateral negotiations, where diverse interests and complex trade-offs often challenge consensus, AI systems provide a structured and efficient approach. Automated negotiation platforms analyse data from all parties, model preferences, and simulate possible outcomes to identify win-win scenarios. These tools have been particularly effective in trade negotiations, climate agreements, and disputes sharing resource, offering solutions that balance conflicting priorities (Bjola & Manor, 2024, pp. 1-6).

Data-Driven Decision Support

AI systems enhance human negotiators' capabilities by identifying patterns and generating recommendations based on evidence. For instance, an AI system might evaluate economic data, political alliances, and cultural dynamics to suggest negotiation strategies tailored to specific contexts. These platforms reduce the time required for data analysis, allowing diplomats to focus on high-level decision-making (Manor, 2019, pp. 83-101).

Case Studies in Automated Negotiation

One example of automated negotiation systems in action is the development of AI tools for trade dispute resolution in the World Trade Organization (WTO). AI algorithms evaluate historical data on trade conflicts, analyse the legal frameworks involved, and



propose resolutions that align with international laws and stakeholders' interests. Similarly, in environmental diplomacy, tools driven by AI tools have been used to facilitate agreements on carbon emissions by modelling the economic impact of various policy options for participating nations (Manor, 2019, pp. 83-101).

Mitigating Bias and Enhancing Transparency

By relying on data and algorithmic processes, automated negotiation systems aim to minimize the influence of human bias. These systems can enhance transparency in negotiations by providing a clear rationale for suggested outcomes, helping to build trust among parties. Additionally, they ensure that less influential states or parties have access to objective data-driven support, levelling the playing field in global diplomacy (Uslu, 2024, pp. 160-162).

Digital Public Diplomacy

Digital public diplomacy, driven by AI, has become a vital tool for states seeking to shape international narratives, engage with foreign publics, and influence global perceptions. By leveraging analytics and communication platforms driven by AI, governments can monitor sentiment, craft targeted messages, and respond dynamically to global issues in real time (Manor, 2019, pp. 83-101).

Sentiment Analysis and Public Opinion Monitoring

Tools powered by AI enable governments to analyse vast volumes of data from social media, news platforms, and online forums. Sentiment analysis algorithms assess public opinion, identifying trends, grievances, and areas of interest across diverse populations. For instance, during major international events or crises, governments can use AI to gauge global reactions and adapt their diplomatic messaging accordingly (Manor, 2019, pp. 55-56). These insights allow diplomats to align their strategies with public sentiment, fostering more effective engagement with international audiences.

Real-Time Engagement

AI enhances real-time engagement through chatbots and automated communication systems. These tools can respond promptly to public inquiries about policies, providing accurate and consistent information. For example, virtual assistants deployed by foreign ministries driven by AI can interact with citizens and foreigners alike, clarifying visa regulations, travel advisories, or foreign policy initiatives. This accessibility builds trust and transparency, enhancing a state's image on the global stage (Kosack & Fung, 2014, pp. 67-69).



Targeted Messaging and Influence Campaigns

Digital public diplomacy relies on AI's ability to segment audiences and tailor messages to specific demographics. By analysing behavioural patterns, AI tools help governments create personalized campaigns that resonate with target audiences. For example, in promoting climate policies or human rights initiatives, AI algorithms can identify influential social media users and craft messages that align with their interests and values. This precision amplifies the impact of diplomatic efforts, ensuring messages reach the right audiences at the right time (Bjola & Manor, 2024, pp. 1-6).

Case Studies in Digital Public Diplomacy

The use of AI in public diplomacy is exemplified by the United Kingdom's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, which employs AI tools to track online discourse and measure the effectiveness of its campaigns. Similarly, the U.S. Department of State has utilized AI to counter disinformation campaigns by identifying false narratives and promoting verified information through digital channels (Bontridder & Poulet, 2021, pp. 1-21).

AI in Security and Conflict

AI is increasingly playing a pivotal role in enhancing national and global security, as well as in addressing complex conflict scenarios. AI's capabilities in data analysis, predictive modelling, and automation are being harnessed to improve both defensive and offensive strategies in security and conflict management. However, its use also raises significant ethical concerns and risks, particularly regarding autonomy, accountability, and the potential for misuse in warfare (Chauhan, 2022, pp. 17-24).

AI in Military Strategy and Defense

AI is transforming military strategies by enabling faster, more accurate decision-making and enhancing operational effectiveness. Advanced AI systems are now being integrated into defense systems, from autonomous drones to cyber defense operations. Autonomous vehicles and drones equipped with AI can perform surveillance, reconnaissance, and even targeted strikes without direct human intervention, offering enhanced efficiency and reduced human risk in conflict zones (Négyesi, 2024, pp. 475-476).

For example, the United States and China have heavily invested in defense technologies driven by AI, including autonomous weapons systems and surveillance tools powered by AI. These systems can process large datasets to detect potential threats, such as troop movements or weapon deployments, faster than human analysts, providing significant strategic advantages (Chauhan, 2022, pp. 17-24).



AI in Conflict Prediction and Early Warning Systems

AI is also being deployed to predict and prevent conflicts before they escalate. Machine learning algorithms can analyse historical data, geopolitical patterns, and social media activity to detect early signs of unrest or potential violence. This predictive capability allows international organizations, such as the United Nations (UN), to intervene before a conflict fully materializes, mitigating the loss of life and economic disruption (Henningesen, 2023, pp. 141-164).

For example, AI systems are used in early warning systems that monitor for social unrest, such as protests, civil wars, or political instability. These systems analyse data from various sources, including news reports, social media, and economic indicators, to predict where conflicts might arise. The United Nations' use of AI to monitor refugee flows or predict conflict hotspots in Africa is one example of this approach in action. These predictive tools enable timely interventions and the provision of humanitarian assistance before the situation deteriorates (Henningesen, 2023, pp. 141-164).

AI in Cybersecurity and Cyber Warfare

AI is increasingly central to the field of cybersecurity, where it is used to detect and respond to cyber threats in real-time. With the growing threat of cyberattacks on critical infrastructure, AI systems are used to identify potential vulnerabilities in computer networks, prevent hacking attempts, and protect sensitive information. These systems can autonomously monitor network traffic, detect unusual behaviour, and deploy defensive measures more quickly than human analysts.

In the context of cyber warfare, AI is also used to launch sophisticated cyberattacks. Malware driven by AI can adapt and evolve to bypass security measures, making it difficult for traditional defense to counter such threats. The use of AI in offensive cyber capabilities, such as launching automated cyberattacks on enemy infrastructure, raises concerns about the escalation of conflicts in the digital realm.

For instance, the use of AI in the 2020 cyberattacks on major governmental agencies, such as the SolarWinds attack, demonstrates the increasing sophistication of cyber warfare tactics powered by AI. The attack, attributed to hackers backed by Russian, involved the use of AI to infiltrate supply chains and government networks, highlighting the vulnerabilities that AI can both protect and exploit (Jasper, 2022, pp. 5-6).

AI and Global Governance

AI is increasingly influencing the landscape of global governance, offering new tools for managing complex transnational issues such as climate change, global health, migration, and international trade. However, while AI has the potential to enhance global cooperation, it also introduces significant challenges regarding regulation, ethics, and the distribution of power on the global stage. Governments, international organizations, and non-state actors are working together to shape the future of AI governance to ensure that its benefits are equitably distributed, while minimizing risks and ensuring human rights protections.



AI in Global Policy Coordination

AI has the capacity to transform international policy coordination by facilitating the collection, analysis, and sharing of data across borders. Tools powered by AI can process vast amounts of data in real-time, helping governments and international organizations respond more quickly to global challenges such as pandemics, natural disasters, and environmental threats.

For example, climate models driven by AI are being used to predict the impact of climate change across different regions, guiding international efforts to mitigate its effects. These models allow the international community to better understand and anticipate the long-term consequences of environmental changes, leading to more informed negotiations in climate summits like the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (Pereira & Viola, 2020, pp. 15-16). Similarly, AI tools have been used in health governance to track and predict the spread of infectious diseases, facilitating coordinated global responses to pandemics such as COVID-19 (Kaur et al., 2021, pp. 40523-40525). By analysing data from various countries, AI systems can offer recommendations for targeted interventions and resource allocation, improving the effectiveness of global health governance.

AI and Multilateral Institutions

International organizations like the United Nations (UN), World Trade Organization (WTO), and World Health Organization (WHO) are exploring the use of AI to improve their operations, increase transparency, and enhance decision-making. AI can be used to streamline administrative processes, support decision-making driven by AI, and facilitate the monitoring of international agreements. The UN, for example, has invested in AI projects to strengthen peacekeeping and humanitarian response. AI is employed to monitor conflict zones, predict refugee flows, and assess the impact of sanctions or peace agreements. AI tools have also been used by the UN to combat misinformation and disinformation, analysing social media platforms to detect the spread of harmful narratives that could undermine peace efforts or create instability (Kertysova, 2018, pp. 60-62).

Similarly, AI can enhance global trade governance by helping institutions like the WTO predict market trends and evaluate trade disputes. By automating routine processes and offering advanced analysis, AI helps multilateral organizations become more efficient and adaptive in responding to fast-changing global challenges (Bjola & Manor, 2024, pp. 1-6).

AI in International Law and Regulation

The rise of AI poses new questions for international law, particularly in the areas of regulation, accountability, and jurisdiction. One of the key challenges for global governance is the establishment of international norms and rules for the development and deployment of AI technologies. Unlike traditional international treaties, AI



governance requires flexible frameworks that can keep pace with rapid technological advancements.

In this context, international collaboration is critical to creating consistent, enforceable standards for AI deployment. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the European Union (EU) have already taken steps toward establishing guidelines and regulations for AI, focusing on areas such as data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and ethical AI use. For example, the EU's Artificial Intelligence Act, proposed in 2021, aims to regulate AI systems with elevated risk by ensuring that they are transparent, accountable, and respectful of fundamental rights (Neuwirth, 2022, pp. 20-25).

While initiatives such as the EU Artificial Intelligence Act represent important progress toward regulating systems with elevated risk, they also expose the limits of current governance approaches. Neuwirth (2022, pp. 20–25) argues that although the Act prioritizes transparency and accountability, enforcement mechanisms remain fragmented and uneven across jurisdictions. Khalaileh (2023, pp. 25–27) stresses that the fast-paced nature of AI innovation challenges the ability of international law to adapt effectively. If regulatory frameworks remain fragmented, the result may be competing and incompatible approaches to AI governance, undermining efforts to build coherent international standards.

AI, Sovereignty, and Global Power Dynamics

AI also brings questions of sovereignty and power dynamics to the forefront of global governance discussions. So, countries race to develop cutting-edge AI technologies, the ability to control and regulate AI becomes a key source of geopolitical influence. Nations with advanced AI capabilities may gain economic, military, and diplomatic leverage, which could alter existing power dynamics in global governance.

For instance, the competition between the United States and China in AI development is not just a matter of technological innovation but also reflects broader geopolitical tensions. The control over AI technologies can affect a country's influence in international organizations, its ability to shape global norms, and its strategic interests in areas like cybersecurity and trade. As AI becomes a critical component of economic and military power, questions arise about how AI will impact state sovereignty, international law, and global cooperation (Grochmalski, 2020, pp. 7-9).

The introduction of AI in global governance requires balancing the interests of powerful states with the need for cooperation, inclusivity, and fairness. There must be mechanisms in place to ensure that AI is used to foster collaboration and collective action, rather than to reinforce inequality or exacerbate global tensions.

The Future of AI in Global Governance

Looking ahead, the integration of AI into global governance will likely continue to expand, creating both opportunities and challenges for the international community. AI has the potential to enhance cooperation on pressing global issues, including climate change,



health crises, and conflict prevention. However, its use also requires careful regulation and ethical oversight to prevent abuses and ensure equitable benefits for all.

International governance frameworks must evolve to address the unique challenges posed by AI. This will involve global dialogue, collaboration between governments, international organizations, the private sector, and civil society, as well as the development of robust legal and ethical standards. AI should not only be seen as a tool for enhancing governance but as an integral part of shaping the future of global cooperation in the 21st century (Imamguluyev, 2024, pp. 2938-2940).

Risks and Ethical Implications

While AI offers numerous benefits in various domains of global governance, security, and diplomacy, its application also carries significant risks and ethical dilemmas. The advent of AI technologies brings forth challenges related to weaponization, misinformation, inequality, and the erosion of privacy. These risks require careful regulation, ethical oversight, and international cooperation to ensure that AI is used responsibly and in ways that benefit all of humanity, rather than exacerbating existing global divides or threatening global peace and stability (Howard, Woolley, & Calo, 2018, pp. 85-87; Sedova et al., 2021, pp. 7-9).

Weaponization and Misinformation

AI's ability to rapidly process and generate content is a double-edged sword, particularly when it comes to weaponizing information and manipulating public perception. Technologies driven by AI, including bots, deepfakes, and automated content generation, can be used to spread misinformation and disinformation at an unprecedented scale. This poses severe risks to democracy, international relations, and social cohesion.

Bots generated by AI have been deployed to interfere in political processes, influencing elections, spreading propaganda, and deepening societal divides. For instance, AI bots were used in the 2016 U.S. election to amplify divisive narratives and influence public opinion (Howard, Woolley, & Calo, 2018, pp. 88-90). These campaigns are often designed to create polarization, sow distrust in democratic institutions, and undermine the integrity of electoral systems.

The manipulation of information through AI also extends to the creation of deepfake videos, which can be used to spread false narratives, discredit political figures, or incite violence. The ability to produce convincing, yet entirely fabricated, content with minimal resources presents a major challenge to verifying the truth and preventing the spread of harmful misinformation on a global scale.

In the context of international relations, misinformation campaigns driven by AI can be used to destabilize governments, interfere with diplomatic efforts, and even incite conflicts between states (Sedova et al., 2021, pp. 10-12). The weaponization of AI in the form of digital manipulation could become an increasingly common tactic in cyber warfare, posing a significant challenge to global security.



To address these threats, countries and international organizations must collaborate on developing frameworks to regulate the use of AI in information warfare, establish clear accountability for those who exploit AI for malicious purposes, and invest in technologies to detect and counteract misinformation generated by AI.

Beyond the general threats of bots and deepfakes, AI introduces more insidious risks of manipulation through personalized disinformation campaigns. Unlike traditional propaganda, systems driven by AI can tailor narratives to specific demographics, exploiting cultural and emotional triggers to maximize impact. For example, Manor (2019, pp. 83–101) notes that sentiment analysis powered by AI enables actors to identify societal grievances and then deploy targeted messages to exacerbate polarization. Bontridder and Poulet (2021, pp. 1–21) similarly highlight how automated influence operations undermine democratic institutions and destabilize international relations. These dynamics illustrate how disinformation campaigns powered by AI pose a direct challenge not only to national security but also to international cooperation and trust.

Inequalities in AI Access

While AI offers immense potential for addressing global challenges, there is a growing concern over the disparities in access to AI technologies between developed and developing countries. The digital divide is widening as wealthy nations and multinational corporations dominate the development and deployment of AI, leaving low-income countries with limited access to these transformative technologies. This inequality is creating further imbalances in global power and exacerbating existing development challenges.

In many developing nations, there are significant barriers to the adoption of AI, including limited infrastructure, lack of access to quality data, insufficient technical expertise, and inadequate financial resources. This creates a situation where only a few nations, particularly those in the Global North, can harness the full potential of AI, while the rest are left behind.

The unequal distribution of AI technologies risks perpetuating the existing global power dynamics, where technologically advanced nations can leverage AI for economic growth, military superiority, and political influence. In contrast, developing countries may be left with limited access to AI's benefits, further deepening inequalities in areas such as healthcare, education, and economic development (Montgomery, 2024, pp. 5-6).

For example, solutions in healthcare powered by AI, such as diagnostic tools and personalized medicine, could improve patient outcomes and reduce health disparities in developed countries. However, without proper infrastructure and access to these technologies, many people in low-income regions will be unable to benefit from these advancements. Similarly, AI's potential to drive economic growth through automation and data analysis may leave developing countries vulnerable to job displacement and economic stagnation if they are unable to keep up with technological innovation.

Addressing these disparities requires international cooperation, capacity-building initiatives, and the establishment of policies that promote the equitable distribution of AI



technologies. The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) has emphasized the need for global cooperation to build the necessary infrastructure, support education and skill development, and ensure that AI technologies are accessible to all countries, regardless of their economic status (UNESCO, 2024).

One potential solution is the creation of an international framework that ensures fair access to AI, fosters research collaboration between countries, and provides support for developing countries to build the necessary infrastructure to take advantage of AI technologies. This could include measures such as technology transfer, programs for building capacity, and equitable funding for AI research and development in the Global South.

Policy Recommendations

As AI continues to reshape global governance, international relations, and security, it is crucial to develop policies that maximize the benefits of AI while mitigating its risks. AI's potential to enhance global cooperation and address pressing challenges, such as climate change, public health, and conflict resolution, is immense. However, its impact can be equally harmful if not properly regulated. In this section, several policy recommendations are outlined to guide the development and deployment of AI in a way that ensures it serves humanity's best interests and fosters equitable and ethical global practices.

Establishing International Frameworks

Creating a unified framework for AI governance is essential to ensure the responsible development and use of AI technologies. While AI innovation is rapidly advancing, the legal and regulatory structures that govern its use remain fragmented, with different countries establishing their own standards, often without coordinated international oversight. This has created a scenario in which AI can be developed and deployed without consistent ethical, legal, or social considerations across borders.

The UN or other international bodies should take the lead in creating global frameworks for AI governance. These frameworks should include binding agreements on AI safety, ethical standards, data privacy, transparency, and accountability, ensuring that AI systems are used responsibly and equitably (UNESCO, 2024). A global treaty on AI could set guidelines for ensuring that AI development prioritizes human rights, social justice, and environmental sustainability.

The proposed framework should also focus on promoting inclusivity, ensuring that developing countries have a voice in the governance process and are not left behind in the AI revolution. International cooperation on AI could foster trust and create a collaborative environment to address transnational challenges such as cybersecurity, misinformation, and the ethical implications of AI in warfare (UNESCO, 2024).



Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

One of the key challenges with AI systems, particularly those in critical domains such as defense, healthcare, and diplomacy, is the opacity of decision-making processes. Many AI models are complex and operate as "black-box" systems, where even their developers may struggle to explain how the algorithms arrive at decisions. This lack of transparency is particularly concerning when AI systems are used in high-stakes areas, such as military operations, law enforcement, and foreign policy decision-making.

To address these concerns, governments should mandate the use of explainable AI (XAI) systems in these domains. XAI refers to AI systems designed to be transparent and interpretable, so their actions can be understood and explained to non-expert users (Khalailah, 2023, pp. 25-27). By adopting XAI, governments can ensure that AI systems operate in ways that are understandable, justifiable, and accountable. This will help mitigate the risks of unintended consequences, bias, and algorithmic errors, while fostering trust among the public and international stakeholders.

For example, in the defense sector, XAI can help ensure that military AI systems, such as autonomous weapons or intelligence gathering systems, operate within ethical and legal frameworks. Similarly, in diplomacy, XAI could help explain the reasoning behind foreign policy decisions made with the assistance of AI, ensuring that they are transparent and aligned with international law and norms (Khalailah, 2023, pp. 28-30).

Promoting Capacity Building in Developing Nations

The global digital divide poses a significant challenge to the equitable adoption of AI technologies. While developed countries have access to advanced AI tools and infrastructure, many developing nations lack the resources, technical expertise, and infrastructure to integrate AI into their governance and economic systems. This inequality risks further marginalizing these nations, limiting their ability to benefit from AI's potential in areas like healthcare, education, and economic development.

To address this gap, developed countries should prioritize technical and financial assistance to help developing nations integrate AI technologies. Initiatives like the Global Partnership on Artificial Intelligence (GPAI), which aims to support AI development through international collaboration and capacity building, are a step in the right direction. GPAI provides a platform for countries to collaborate on AI policy, ethics, and research, while also ensuring that developing nations have the resources and support, they need to implement AI solutions (Jariwala, 2024, pp. 1-7).

Efforts on building capacity should focus on developing local AI expertise, building the necessary digital infrastructure, and ensuring access to the data and tools required for successful AI adoption. Furthermore, international cooperation can help create training programs, digital literacy initiatives, and collaborative research projects that enable developing countries to leapfrog technological barriers and fully participate in the global AI ecosystem (Jariwala, 2024, pp. 1-7).



Leveraging AI for Peacebuilding

AI's potential to prevent conflict, promote stability, and contribute to peacebuilding efforts should be actively pursued by international organizations and governments. AI can be a powerful tool for early warning systems, conflict prevention, and post-conflict reconstruction, especially when integrated with existing peacekeeping operations.

AI can be leveraged for conflict prevention by analysing large datasets from social media, news outlets, and government reports to detect early warning signs of political instability, ethnic tensions, or humanitarian crises. Predictive models powered by AI can help diplomats and peacekeepers act pre-emptively to defuse conflicts before they escalate. For example, AI could help identify patterns of violence in conflict-prone regions, enabling international organizations like the UN to deploy peacekeeping forces or humanitarian aid swiftly.

In addition, AI technologies can contribute to conflict resolution by facilitating dialogue between opposing parties, analysing negotiation dynamics, and suggesting potential compromise solutions. Automated negotiation platforms, powered by AI, can analyse the preferences and positions of different stakeholders in peace negotiations, providing diplomats with insights on how to bridge divides and reach a mutually agreeable solution (Carneiro, Novais, & Neves, 2014, pp. 170-172).

Finally, AI can assist in post-conflict reconstruction by helping governments and international agencies to rebuild infrastructure, improve governance, and promote social cohesion. Tools driven by AI can optimize resource allocation, provide insights driven by data for rebuilding efforts, and assess the effectiveness of various peacebuilding programs (Carneiro, Novais, & Neves, 2014, pp. 173-175).

Conclusion

AI is undeniably a transformative force in the field of International Relations, bringing new opportunities and challenges in areas such as diplomacy, security, and governance. The potential for AI to enhance decision-making, improve conflict resolution, and promote effective governance is vast. Predictive analytics, automated negotiation systems, and digital diplomacy are just a few examples of how AI can significantly reshape the way states interact and manage global affairs.

However, as AI technologies advance, their integration into the global order must be approached with caution. Ethical concerns, such as the risk of weaponization, misinformation, bias, and the widening digital divide, demand careful consideration. These challenges highlight the need for robust regulatory frameworks that ensure AI is developed and applied in ways that are transparent, equitable, and aligned with human rights.

Furthermore, inclusivity is paramount. Wealthier nations or corporations should not dominate the global AI landscape. Instead, international cooperation must be emphasized, ensuring that developing countries have access to AI technologies and the resources needed to integrate them into their own governance structures.



Interpreting AI through established theories of international relations also enriches our understanding of its role in global politics. From a realist perspective, the competition between the United States and China over AI development illustrates how technological leadership translates into geopolitical power. A liberal lens, by contrast, highlights the potential of international organizations such as the UN, WTO, and UNESCO to foster cooperation and collective governance of AI. Constructivist approaches, meanwhile, draw attention to how AI technologies shape norms, perceptions, and identities in diplomacy and global governance. These perspectives confirm that AI is not merely a technological tool but also a political phenomenon that both reflects and reshapes power, cooperation, and legitimacy in the international system.

By fostering collaboration between nations, international organizations, and the private sector, and by establishing clear and inclusive policies, states can unlock AI's full potential to promote peace, prosperity, and global stability. As AI continues to evolve, it will undoubtedly shape the future of international relations. With careful oversight and a commitment to ethical principles, AI can be a powerful tool for building a more just and harmonious world.

References

- Bali, M., & Rapelanoro, N. (2021). How to simulate international economic sanctions: A multipurpose index modelling illustrated with EU sanctions against Russia. *International Economics*, 168, 25-39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2021.06.004>
- Bjola, C., & Manor, I. (2024). Digital diplomacy in the age of technological acceleration: three impact scenarios of generative artificial intelligence. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41254-023-00323-4>
- Bontridder, N., & Pouillet, Y. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in disinformation. *Data & Policy*, 3, e32. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2021.20>
- Carneiro, D., Novais, P., & Neves, J. (2014). The Conflict Resolution Process. *Conflict Resolution and Its Context*, 163-186. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06239-6_8
- Chauhan, S. (2022). Artificial Intelligence In The Military: An Overview Of The Capabilities, Applications, And Challenges. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 9(2), 17-24. <https://doi.org/10.53555/sfs.v9i2.2911>
- European Commission: Joint Research Centre, Galliano, D., Bitussi, A., Caravaggi, I., De Girolamo, L., Destro, D., Duta, A., Giustolisi, L., Lentini, A., Mastronunzio, M., Paris, S., Proietti, C., Salvitti, V., Santini, M., & Spagnolo, L. (2024). *Artificial intelligence applied to disasters and crises management*, Publications Office of the European Union. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2760/0323818>
- Grochmalski, P. (2020). US-China rivalry for strategic domination in the area of artificial intelligence and the new AI geopolitics. *Kwartalnik "Bellona,"* 701(2), 5-25. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0014.3837>
- Helbing, D. (2015). Societal, Economic, Ethical and Legal Challenges of the Digital Revolution: From Big Data to Deep Learning, Artificial Intelligence, and Manipulative Technologies. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2594352>



- Henningsen, G. (2023). Big Data for the Prediction of Forced Displacement. *International Migration Review*, 59(1), 141-164. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01979183231195296>
- Howard, P. N., Woolley, S., & Calo, R. (2018). Algorithms, bots, and political communication in the US 2016 election: The challenge of automated political communication for election law and administration. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 15(2), 81-93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2018.1448735>
- Imamguluyev, R. (2024). Artificial Intelligence 2050: Predictions, Challenges, and Innovations. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(9), 2933-2941. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0924.2663>
- Jariwala, M. (2024). A Comparative Analysis of the EU AI Act and the Colorado AI Act: Regulatory Approaches to Artificial Intelligence Governance. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 186(38), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2024923954>
- JASPER, S. (2022). Russian Cyber Operations. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv2k88t3d>
- Katsh, E., & Rabinovich-Einy, O. (2017). Digital Justice. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190464585.001.0001>
- Kaur, I., Behl, T., Aleya, L., Rahman, H., Kumar, A., Arora, S., & Bulbul, I. J. (2021). Artificial intelligence as a fundamental tool in management of infectious diseases and its current implementation in COVID-19 pandemic. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(30), 40515-40532. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13823-8>
- Kertysova, K. (2018). Artificial Intelligence and Disinformation. *Security and Human Rights*, 29(1-4), 55-81. <https://doi.org/10.1163/18750230-02901005>
- Khalailleh, Y. (2023). Accommodating Artificial Intelligence in International Law: An Overview and New Frontier. *Journal of Human Security*, 19(1), 22-31. <https://doi.org/10.12924/johs2023.19010022>
- Kosack, S., & Fung, A. (2014). Does Transparency Improve Governance? *Annual Review of Political Science*, 17(1), 65-87. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-032210-144356>
- Lateef Olawale Fatai, Olayinka Farouk Salau, Lawrencina Yaa Kakra Gaisie, & Ken Muchira. (2023). Leveraging data analytics to optimize government interventions for homelessness, substance abuse, and mental health: A case study in evidence-based policy design. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 20(3), 2025-2047. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.20.3.2218>
- Manor, I. (2019). Public Diplomacy in the Digital Age. *Hague Journal of Diplomacy*, 14(1-2), 83-101. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1871191X-14011032>
- Montgomery, R. M. (2024). The Rise of Inequality and Artificial Intelligence: Impacts, Challenges, and Opportunities in a Globalized Economy. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4964094>
- Négyesi, I. (2024). The Potential of Cognitive Artificial Intelligence for Mission-Oriented Military Decision-Making. *Land Forces Academy Review*, 29(4), 473-480. <https://doi.org/10.2478/raft-2024-0049>



- Neuwirth, R. J. (2022). The European Union's proposed Artificial Intelligence Act (AIA). The EU Artificial Intelligence Act, 9-41. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003319436-2>
- Pereira, J. C., & Viola, E. (2020). Climate Multilateralism Within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.013.639>
- Sedova, K., McNeill, C., Johnson, A., Joshi, A., & Wulkan, I. (2021). AI and the Future of Disinformation Campaigns: Part 1: The RICHDATA Framework. Center for Security and Emerging Technology. <https://doi.org/10.51593/2021ca005>
- UNESCO. (2024). Retrieved from <https://www.unesco.org>
- Uslu, S. (2024). Data-Informed Diplomacy: Adapting to the Digital Age in International Relations and Implementation in the OSCE Region. Digital Diplomacy in the OSCE Region, 155-166. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50966-7_14